An Extension of Order Bounded Operators

Kazem Haghnejad Azar \cdot Sajjad Ghanizadeh Zare \cdot Somayeh Hazrati

Received: 23 January 2024 / Accepted: 19 August 2024

Abstract Let E be a normed lattice and an g-order dense majorizing sublattice of a vector lattice E^t . We extend the norm of E to E^t , denoted by $\|.\|_t$. The pair $(E^t, \|.\|_t)$ forms a normed lattice and preserves certain lattices and topological properties whenever these properties hold in E. As a consequence, every positive linear operator defined on a normed lattice E has a linear extension to E^t . This manuscript provides an explicit formula for these extensions. The extended operator T^t is a lattice homomorphism from E^t into F, and it belongs to $\mathcal{L}_n(E^t, F)$ whenever $0 \leq T \in \mathcal{L}_n(E, F)$ and $T(x \wedge y) = Tx \wedge Ty$ for all $0 \leq x, y \in E$. Furthermore, if $T \in \mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$ and certain lattice and topological properties hold for T, then $T^t \in \mathcal{L}_b(E^t, F)$ will also preserve these properties.

Keywords Riesz space · Order convergence · Unbounded order convergence Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 47B60 · 46A40

1 Introduction

A vector sublattice E of vector lattice G is said to be order dense in G whenever for each $0 < x \in G$ there exists some $y \in E$ with $0 < y \le x$ and E is generalized

K. Haghnejad Azar (Corresponding Author)

Department of Mathematics and Application, Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran. E-mail: haghnejad@uma.ac.ir

S. Ghanizadeh Zare Department of Mathematics and Application, Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran. E-mail: s.ghanizadeh@uma.ac.ir

S. Hazrati Department of Mathematics and Application, Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran. E-mail: s.hazrati@uma.ac.ir order dense (g-order dense) in G whenever for each 0 < x < z in G there exists some $y \in E$ with $0 < x \le y \le z$. It is clear that each g-order dense subspace is order dense, but the converse not holds. For example, c_0 is order dense in ℓ^{∞} , but is not g-order dense. Let us say that a vector subspace E of an ordered vector space G is majorizing of G whenever for each $x \in G$ there exists some $y \in E$ with $x \leq y$. Let E be a normed lattice that is both g-order dense and majorizing in a vector lattice E^t . It is possible to extend the norm from E to E^t . In this paper, we investigate the method of this norm extension and demonstrate that certain lattice and topological properties can be carried over from E to E^t . Now, suppose T is a positive order bounded operator from a normed lattice E to a Dedekind complete normed lattice F. Then, there exists a linear operator T^t from E^t to F that extends T, and furthermore, we have $||T|| = ||T^t||$. In Section 1.2 of [2], the authors studied some new extensions of operators on vector lattices. In [3], Onno van Gaans introduced and studied a generalization of the notion of a seminorm on a directed partially ordered vector space. In this paper, we investigate this problem in a different way and extend some results to the general case.

Let E be a normed lattice and a sublattice of G, and assume that E is order dense and majorizing in a vector lattice E^t that is a subset of G. The motivations of this manuscript are as follows:

- 1. We can extend the norm from E to E^t as follows: For any $x \in E^t$, we define $||x||_t = \inf\{||y|| : y \in E, y \ge |x|\}$, where $|x| = x \lor (-x)$, which is the supremum of x and its additive inverse -x. Then, $(E^t, ||\cdot||_t)$ is a normed lattice.
- 2. Suppose T is an order-bounded operator from E to a Dedekind complete normed lattice F. We can define a linear extension $T^t : E^t \to F$ of T to E^t as follows:

For any $x \in E^t$, we define $T^t(x) = \sup\{T(y) : y \in E, y \leq x\}$, where the supremum is taken in F. Then, T^t is well-defined and order-bounded.

3. Moreover, T^t is the unique linear extension of T from E^t to F in the sense that if $S : E^t \to F$ is any extension of T using the same method, then $T^t = S$.

If certain lattice and topological properties hold for $T \in \mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$, then $T^t \in \mathcal{L}_b(E^t, F)$ will also preserve these properties.

To state our result, we need to fix some notation and recall some definitions. A Banach lattice E has order continuous norm if $||x_{\alpha}|| \to 0$ for every decreasing net $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ with $\inf_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} = 0$. A Banach lattice E is said to be an AL-space if we have ||x + y|| = ||x|| + ||y|| for each $x, y \in E$ such that $|x| \land |y| = 0$. A Banach lattice E is said to be KB-space whenever each increasing norm bounded sequence of E^+ is norm convergent. A Riesz space that is at the same time Dedekind complete and laterally complete is referred to as a universally complete Riesz space. Let E and F be Riesz spaces. An operator $T : E \to F$ is said to be order bounded if it maps each order bounded subset of E into order bounded subset of F. The collection of all order bounded operators from a Riesz space E into a Riesz space F will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$. A linear operator between two Riesz spaces is order continuous (resp. σ -order continuous) if it maps order null nets (resp. sequences) to order null nets (resp. sequences). The collection of all order continuous (resp. σ -order continuous) linear operators from vector lattice E into vector lattice F will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}_n(E, F)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_c(E, F)$).

A Dedekind complete vector lattice G is said to be a Dedekind completion of the vector lattice E whenever E is lattice isomorphism to a majorizing order dense sublattice of G. A subset A of a vector lattice E is said to be order closed whenever $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ and $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sigma} x$ in E imply $x \in A$. A lattice norm $\|.\|$ on a vector lattice E is said to be a Fatou norm (or that $\|.\|$ satisfies the Fatou property) if $0 \leq x_{\alpha} \uparrow x$ in E implies $\|x_{\alpha}\| \uparrow \|x\|$. σ -Fatou norm has similar definition. An operator $T : E \to E$ on a vector lattice is said to be band preserving whenever T leaves all bands of E invariant, i.e., whenever $T(B) \subseteq B$ holds for each band B of E. An operator $T : E \to F$ between two vector lattices is said to be preserve disjointness whenever $x \perp y$ in E implies $Tx \perp Ty$ in F. For a normed lattice E, E' is the its order dual and $\sigma(E, E')$ is the weak topology for E. For unexplained terminology and facts on Banach lattices and positive operators, we refer the reader to [1,2].

2 An extension of the norms

Let E be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a Dedekind complete vector lattice E^{δ} that contains a majorizing, order dense vector subspace that is Riesz isomorphic to E, which we will identify as E. E^{δ} is called the Dedekind completion of E. Throughout this manuscript, we assume that the vector lattices under consideration are Archimedean. Let E and G be a normed lattice and a vector lattice, respectively, such that E is order dense and majorizing in G. The universal completion of a vector lattice E will be denoted by E^{u} . According to [[1], Theorem 7.21], every Archimedean vector lattice has a unique universal completion. In all parts of this manuscript, we assume that Eis g-order dense and majorizing in G. Throughout this paper, $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ denotes a normed space that serves as a vector sublattice of G.

Theorem 1 For each $x \in G$, let $\rho(x) = \sup\{||z|| : z \leq |x|, z \in E^+\}$. Then $\rho(x)$ is a norm on G, and moreover, $(G, \rho(x))$ is a normed lattice.

Proof It is clear that $\rho(x) = 0$ if and only if x = 0, and $\rho(\lambda x) = |\lambda|\rho(x)$ for each real number λ and $x \in G$. Now we prove that $\rho(x + y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ whenever $x, y \in G$.

Let $x, y \in G$. Fix $z \in E^+$ such that $z \leq |x + y|$. By Riesz Decomposition property, [[1], Theorem 1.10], there are $z_1, z_2 \in G$ such that $|z_1| \leq |x|, |z_2| \leq |y|$ and $z = z_1 + z_2$. Since E is order dense in G, there are $w_1, w_2 \in E^+$ such that $|z_1| \leq w_1 \leq |x|$ and $|z_2| \leq w_2 \leq |y|$. It follows that

$$z = z_1 + z_2 \le |z_1| + |z_2| \le w_1 + w_2 \le |x| + |y|.$$

Then we have

$$||z|| \le ||w_1 + w_2|| \le ||w_1|| + ||w_2|| \le \rho(x) + \rho(y)$$

Consequently, we have $\sup\{||z|| : z \le |x+y| \text{ and } z \in E^+\} \le \rho(x) + \rho(y)$, which implies that $\rho(x+y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$.

For a normed lattice $(E, \|.\|)$, assume that E^{ρ} is the set of all $x \in G$ such that satisfies in the following equality,

$$\rho(x) = \inf\{\|y\|: \ |x| \le y, \ y \in E^+\}$$
(1)

$$= \sup\{\|z\|: z \le |x|, z \in E^+\}.$$
 (2)

Then E is subspace of E^{ρ} and ρ is a real function from E^{ρ} into $[0, +\infty)$ and satisfies in the following properties:

1.
$$\rho(x) = 0$$
 iff $x = 0$

2. $\rho(\lambda x) = \lambda \rho(x)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x \in E^{\rho}$. 3. $\rho(x+y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$, for $x, y \in E^{\rho}$.

 (E^{ρ}, ρ) is an extension of $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, meaning that E is a sublattice of E^{ρ} and $||x|| = \rho(x)$ for all $x \in E$.

To see why this is true, note that by Theorem 1, we can extend the norm on E to a complete lattice norm ρ on E^{ρ} , such that $||x|| = \rho(x)$ for all $x \in E$. Therefore, (E^{ρ}, ρ) is indeed an extension of $(E, \|\cdot\|)$. An example that illustrates this point is as follows.

Example 1 Let c be the collection of all real number sequences which are convergence in \mathbb{R} with ℓ^{∞} -norm. It is obvious that c is order dense majorizing of ℓ^{∞} . By easy calculation, we can prove that $c^{\rho} = \ell^{\infty}$.

Definition 1 Assume that $E \subseteq E^t$ is a vector sublattice of G in which every element of E^t satisfies the equalities (1) and (2), we can define a new norm in E^t called the *t*-norm, denoted by $||x||_t = \rho(x)$.

It is evident that $(E^t, \|.\|_t)$ is a normed lattice. However, E^t is not necessarily unique, and in general, we have $E \subseteq E^t \subseteq G$. The objective of this manuscript is to identify vector lattices E^t that are distinct from E. Therefore, in this manuscript, E is a proper sublattice of E^t .

In Theorem 2, we will demonstrate that $E^t = G$ whenever E is a Dedekind complete or has an order-continuous norm.

Theorem 2 By one of the following conditions, the equality (1) holds for each $x \in G$, that is, $E^t = G$, $(G, \|.\|_t)$ is normed lattice and $\|y\| = \|y\|_t$ for each $y \in E$.

i) E is a Dedekind complete.

ii) E has order continuous norm.

Proof i) According to Theorem 1, the function

$$\rho(x) = \sup\{\|z\| : z \le |x|, z \in E^+\}$$

defines a norm for the vector lattice G. By contradiction, assume that

$$\rho(x) < \inf\{\|y\|: |x| \le y, y \in E^+\}.$$

Let $A = \{y \in E^+ : |x| \le y\}$. Since E is order dense in G, A is bounded below, and so A has infimum in E, by Dedekind completeness of E. Take inf $A = y_0$ where $y_0 \in E$. It is clear that $y_0 < |x|$ and $\rho(x) \le ||y_0||$. Then $||y_0|| = \rho(y_0) = \rho(x)$. Let the natural number n be enough large such that

$$\rho(x) < \|y_0\| + \frac{1}{n} \|y_0\| < \inf\{\|y\|: |x| \le y, y \in E^+\}.$$

Put $z_0 = (1 + \frac{1}{n})y_0$. Consequently we have $z_0 \in A$, then

$$\inf\{\|y\|: |x| \le y, y \in E^+\} < \|z_0\|,$$

which is impossible.

ii) First we show that

$$\inf\{\|y\|: |x| \le y, y \in E\} = \sup\{\|z\|: z \le |x|, z \in E\},\$$

holds whenever $x \in G$. Set

$$A = \{ z \le |x| : z \in E^+ \},\$$

and

$$B = \{ y \ge |x| : y \in E \}.$$

Since *E* is order dense and majorizing of *G*, it follows that *A* and *B* are not empty and they are directed sets. We consider the set *A* as a net $\{z_{\alpha}\}$, where $z_{\alpha} = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. In the same way we consider $B = \{y_{\beta}\}$, and by using [[2], Theorem 1.34], we write $z_{\alpha} \uparrow |x|$ and $y_{\beta} \downarrow |x|$. Since $z_{\alpha} \leq |x| \leq y_{\beta}$ for each α and β , it follows that $y_{\beta} - z_{\alpha} \downarrow 0$, and so

$$0 \le ||y_{\beta}|| - ||z_{\alpha}|| \le ||y_{\beta} - z_{\alpha}|| \to 0$$

It follows that $||x||_t = \inf ||y_\beta|| = \sup ||z_\alpha||$. Obviously that $||.||_t$ is a norm for G and $(G, ||.||_t)$ is a normed lattice.

In Example 1, we note that c is neither Dedekind complete nor equipped with an order-continuous norm, yet we observe that $c^t = \ell^{\infty}$. However, Theorem 2 provides justification for extending the norm of E to a vector lattice E^t in various other cases.

It is also important to determine when $(E^t)^t = E^t$. In the following example, we demonstrate that E^t exists whenever E satisfies the Fatou property. It is worth noting that according to Example 4.3 and 4.4 from [1], every normed lattice with the Fatou property, in a general sense, is neither order-continuous nor Dedekind complete.

Example 2 By [[1], Theorem 4.12], if $(E, \|.\|)$ satisfies the Fatou property, the Dedekind completion of E, E^{δ} is a normed space with δ – norm. Let E be the vector lattice of all real-valued functions defined on an infinite set X whose range is finite, with the pointwise ordering and satisfies the Fatou property. It can be seen that E is not Dedekind complete and $E^{\delta} = \ell^{\infty}(X)$.

We now present an important lemma that plays a crucial role throughout this manuscript.

Lemma 1 Let *E* has order continuous norm. For each $0 \le x \in E^t$, there are sequences $\{x_n\} \subseteq E^+$ and $\{y_n\} \subseteq E^+$ such that $x_n \uparrow x$, $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_t} x$, $y_n \downarrow x$ and $y_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_t} x$.

Proof Choose $\{r_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\{x_n\} \subseteq E^+$ satisfies in the following conditions:

1. $r_n \downarrow 0$, 2. $x_n \in \{z \in E : z \leq x \text{ and } ||x - z||_t < r_n\}$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, 3. $x_n \uparrow x$.

The justification for the above statement is as follows: By [[2], Theorem 1.34], set

$$A = \{ z \le x : z \in E^+ \} = \{ z_\alpha \},\$$

and

$$B = \{ y \ge x : y \in E \} = \{ y_\beta \}$$

such that $z_{\alpha} \uparrow x$ and $y_{\beta} \downarrow x$. Then $z_{\alpha} \leq x \leq y_{\beta}$ holds for each α and β . Thus

$$||x - y_{\beta}||_{t}, ||x - z_{\alpha}||_{t} \le ||z_{\alpha} - y_{\beta}||_{t} = ||z_{\alpha} - y_{\beta}|| \to 0$$

Let $0 < r_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist

$$z_1 \in \{ z \in A : \| x - z \|_t \le r_1 \},\$$

and

 $0 < r_2 < \min\{r_1, \|z_1 - x\|_t\}.$

We choose $z_2, z_3, ..., z_n$ and $z_{n+1} \in \{z \in A : ||x - z_n||_t \le r_n\}$ where

$$0 < r_n < \min\{r_{n-1}, \|z_{n-1} - x\|_t\}.$$

We define $x_n = \bigvee_{i=1}^n z_i$. Now, if $x_n \leq w \leq x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$0 \leqslant x - w \leqslant x - x_n \le x - z_n.$$

It follows that

$$||x - w||_t \leq ||x - x_n||_t \leq ||x - z_n||_t \leq r_n \downarrow 0.$$

Thus x = w, and so $\sup x_n = x$. Therefore $x_n \uparrow x$ and $||x_n - x|| \to 0$. The existence of $\{y_n\}$ follows the same argument. **Theorem 3** Suppose E is a normed lattice. If E is a KB-space or an AL-space, then E^t is also a KB-space or an AL-space, respectively.

Proof Assume that $\{x_n\} \subseteq (E^t)^+$ is increasing sequence such that

 $\sup \|x_n\|_t < +\infty.$

By using Lemma 1, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is increasing sequences

$$\{x_{n,m}\}_m \subseteq E^+,$$

such that $x_{n,m} \uparrow_m x_n$ and $||x_n - x_{n,m}||_t \xrightarrow{m} 0$. Take $y_n = \bigvee_{i,j=1}^n x_{i,j}$. It follows that $0 \leq y_n \uparrow$ and $\sup ||y_n|| \leq \sup_{i,j} ||x_{i,j}|| \leq \sup ||x_n|| < +\infty$. Since E is a KB-space, it follows that there exists $x \in E$ such that $||y_n - x||_t \to 0$. On the other hand, the inequalities $y_n \leq x_n \leq x$ implies that $||x_n - x||_t \leq ||y_n - x||_t$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $||x_n - x||_t \to 0$ holds in E^t . Now, if E is an AL-space, then E has order continuous norm. Now, let $0 < x, y \in E^t$ with $x \land y = 0$. By using Lemma 1, there are $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in E^+ such that $x_n \uparrow x$, $y_n \uparrow y, ||x - x_n||_t \to 0$ and $||y - y_n||_t \to 0$. It follows that $0 \leq x_n \land y_n \uparrow x \land y = 0$ implies that $x_n \land y_n = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$||x_n + y_n|| = ||x_n|| + ||y_n||,$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$||x + y||_t = \lim_n ||x_n + y_n|| = \lim_n ||x_n|| + \lim_n ||y_n|| = ||x_n||_t + ||y||_t.$$

Consequently, E^t is an AL-space.

Theorem 4 For a normed lattice E with order continuous norm, we have the following assertions

- 1. If \hat{E} is a norm completion of E, then $E^t \subseteq \hat{E} = E^u$, and if E is norm complete, then $E^t = E^u = E$.
- 2. For each $x \in E^t$ and $A \subseteq E$ with $\sup A = x$, we have $||x||_t = \sup_{z \in A} ||z||$.
- 3. For each $x \in E^t$ and $A \subseteq E$ with $\inf A = x$, we have $||x||_t = \inf_{z \in A} ||z||$.
- 4. $(E^t, \|.\|_t)$ has Fatou property and $B_{E^t} = \{x \in E^t : \|x\|_t \le 1\}$ is order closed.
- 5. If E is an ideal in E^t , then $\hat{E} = E^t$.
- Proof 1. According to [[1], Theorem 2.40], $(\hat{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed lattice, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the unique extension of the norm from E to \hat{E} . Let $x \in E^t$. Then by Lemma 1, there exists $\{x_n\}$ in E^+ such that $x_n \uparrow x^+$ and $\|x^+ - x_n\|_t \to 0$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a norm Cauchy sequence in E, and so convergence in \hat{E} . It follows that $x^+ \in \hat{E}$. In the similar way $x^- \in \hat{E}$, which implies that $x \in \hat{E}$. Now by Theorem 7.51 of [1], we conclude that $E^t \subseteq \hat{E} = E^u$ and $\|\cdot\|_t = \|\cdot\|$. On the other hand if E is norm complete, it is obvious that $E^t = E^u = E$ and $\|\cdot\|_t = \|\cdot\|_t = \|\cdot\|$.

- 2. By [[1], Theorem 7.54], E^u has order continuous norm. Since by part (1), we have $E^t \subseteq E^u$, it follows that E^t has order continuous norm. Consider $A = (x_\alpha)$ with $\sup A = x$. It follows that $x x_\alpha \downarrow 0$ which implies that $||x x_\alpha||_t \to 0$. Then by using inequalities $0 \le ||x||_t ||x_\alpha|| \le ||x x_\alpha||_t$, we have $\sup_\alpha ||x_\alpha|| = ||x||_t$.
- 3. The proof follows a similar argument as that of (2).
- 4. By [[1], Lemma 4.2], $(E, \|.\|)$ has Fatou property. The proof of the first statement follows a similar argument to that of Theorem 3(1), and we omit the details. The second part follows by [[1], Theorem 4.6].
- 5. The proof follows by [[1], Theorem 3.8].

Note that a linear subspace E of a partially ordered vector space G is said to be order dense if $x = \inf\{y \in E : x \leq y\}$ for every $x \in G$. Based on our earlier discussion, we can pose the following question:

Problem 1 If E^t is a partially ordered vector space and E is order dense and majorizing in E^t , is there a norm extension from $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ to E^t ?

3 The extension of order bounded operators

In this section, we explore the extension properties of order-bounded operators. Specifically, we consider T to be an order-bounded operator from a normed lattice E into a Dedekind complete normed lattice F, and we aim to introduce an operator T^t from E^t to F as an extension of T. We investigate various lattice and topological properties of T^t that hold when these properties are satisfied by T. Our analysis provides insights into the behavior of order-bounded operators under extensions of normed lattices, which has important applications in the positive operators studying and related fields.

Theorem 5 Let T be an order bounded operator from normed lattice E into Dedekind complete normed lattice F. We have the following assertions.

- 1. There exists an extension order bounded operator T^t from E^t into F satisfying $T^t(y) = Ty$ for each $y \in E$.
- 2. For each positive continuous operator T, we have $||T|| = ||T^t||$, and if T is norm continuous, then so is T^t .
- 3. $|T|^t = |T^t|$.
- 4. For each $T, S \in \mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$, we have $(T \vee S)^t = T^t \vee S^t$.
- 5. If $S : E^t \to F$ is an order bounded and norm continuous operator, then $T^t = S$.
- 6. Each order interval of E^t is $\sigma(E^t, (E^t)')$ -compact.
- *Proof* 1. Since T is an order bounded operator and F Dedekind complete, we have $T = T^+ T^-$. So first we assume that T is a positive operator from E into F. According to [[2], Theorem 1.32], the mapping $p: E^t \to F$ defined via the formula

$$p(x) = \inf\{Ty: y \in E, x \leq y\}, x \in E^t.$$

is a monotone sublinear and Ty = p(y) for each $y \in E$. So by [[3], Theorem 1.5.7], there is an extension T^t from E^t into F satisfying $T^t x \leq p(x^+)$ for all $x \in E^t$, and $T^t y = Ty$ for all $y \in E$. Now we define $T^t = (T^+)^t - (T^-)^t$, and so for all $y \in E$, we have

$$T^{t}y = (T^{+})^{t}(y) - (T^{-})^{t}(y) = T^{+}y - T^{-}y = Ty.$$

2. Assume that T is a positive operator and $x \in E^t$. According part (1), we have $T^t x \leq p(x^+) \leq Ty$ for all $y \in E$ such that $y \geq x^+$, and so $||T^t x|| \leq ||Ty||$ for all $y \in E$ such that $y \geq x^+$. It follows that

$$||T^t x|| \le ||T|| \inf_{y \ge x^+} ||y|| \le ||T|| ||x^+||_t \le ||T|| ||x||_t.$$

Then $||T^t|| \leq ||T||$. Since $B_E \subseteq B_{E^t}$, follows that $||T|| \leq ||T^t||$. Thus $||T|| = ||T^t||$, and proof follows.

3. In this part, we assume that x, y, z are members of E and x^t, y^t, z^t are members of E^t when there is not any confused. Now let $x^t \ge 0$. Since E is order dense in E^t , we have the following equalities

(T

Similarly, we have $(T^t)^-(x^t) = (T^-)^t(x^t)$ for all $x^t \ge 0$. It is obvious that for each $x^t \in E^t$, we have $(T^t)^+ x^t = (T^+)^t(x^t)$ and $(T^t)^- x^t = (T^-)^t(x^t)$. Thus

$$|T|^{t} = (T^{+} + T^{-})^{t} = (T^{+})^{t} + (T^{-})^{t} = (T^{t})^{+} + (T^{t})^{-} = |T^{t}|.$$

- 4. By using the equality $T \vee S = \frac{1}{2}(T + S + |T S|)$ and part (3), proof follows.
- 5. First let $0 \le x \in E^t$. By Lemma 1, there exists $\{x_n\}$ in E^+ such that $x_n \uparrow x^+$ and $||x^+ x_n||_t \to 0$. Since $S^+x_n \uparrow$ and $||x^+ x_n|| \to 0$, follows that $S^+x_n \uparrow S^+x$. We have $T = S|_E$ (restriction of S on E), which follows that $T^- = S^-|_E$ and $T^+ = S^+|_E$. Obviously $(T^-)^t = S^-$ and $(T^+)^t = S^+$, and so by part (3), we have the following equalities

$$S = S^{+} - S^{-} = (T^{+})^{t} - (T^{-})^{t} = (T^{t})^{+} - (T^{t})^{-} = T^{t}.$$

Thus $S = T^t$ on E^- and E^+ , which follows that

$$Sx = Sx^{+} - Sx^{-} = T^{t}x^{+} - T^{t}x^{-} = T^{t}x,$$

for each $x \in E^t$.

6. Consider $a, b \in (E^t)^+$ and a < b. By Lemma 1, take $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in E^+ such that $x_n \uparrow a, y_n \downarrow b, ||a - x_n||_t \to 0$ and $||y_n - b||_t \to 0$. Since E has order continuous norm, $[x_n, y_n] \cap E$ is $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact subset of E for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $[a, b] \cap E$ is $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact subset of E. Now, if we set

$$V = \{ s \in E : x'(s) < r \text{ and } x' \in E' \},\$$

then by using part (5), the order density of V is

$$V^{t} = \{ s \in E^{t} : (x')^{t}(s) < r \text{ and } (x')^{t} \in (E^{t})' \}.$$

It is obvious that $V \subseteq V^t$, and so $\sigma(E, E') \subseteq \sigma(E^t, (E^t)')$. Since $[a, b] \cap E$ is order dense in [a, b], follows that [a, b] is $\sigma(E^t, (E^t)')$ -compact subset of E^t .

In the following, we examine some properties of the operator T^t , and we demonstrate that T^t preserves certain lattice and topological properties when these properties hold for T.

Theorem 6 Let $0 \leq T \in \mathcal{L}_n(E, F)$. Then we have the following assertions

- 1. If $0 \le x \le E^t$ and $\{x_\alpha\} \subseteq E^+$ with $x_\alpha \downarrow x$, then $Tx_\alpha \downarrow T^t x$.
- 2. If $T(x \wedge y) = Tx \wedge Ty$ for each $0 \leq x, y \in E$, then T^t is a lattice homomorphism from E^t into F and moreover $T^t \in \mathcal{L}_n(E^t, F)$.
- 3. If $0 \leq T : E \to E$ is a band-preserving operator, then $T^t : E^t \to E^t$ is also band-preserving.
- 4. If $T: E \to F$ is an order bounded operator that preserves disjointness, then $T^t: E^t \to F$ also preserves disjointness.
- 5. Suppose E has an order continuous norm. Then $\{Tx_n\}$ is norm convergent in F for every positive increasing norm-bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E if and only if $\{T^tx_n\}$ is norm convergent in F for every positive increasing tnorm-bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E^t .
- Proof 1. Let $\{x_{\alpha}\} \subseteq E^+$ such that $x_{\alpha} \downarrow x$. If $y \in E^+$ such that $x \leq y$, then $y \lor x_{\alpha} \downarrow y$ holds in E, and so by order continuity of $T : E \to F$ and Theorem 4 (3), we see that

$$Ty = \inf\{T(x_{\alpha} \lor y)\} \le \inf Tx_{\alpha} \le T^{t}x.$$

This easily implies that $Tx_{\alpha} \downarrow T^{t}x$.

2. Assume that $0 \le x, y \in E^t$. We prove that $T^t(x \land y) = T^t x \land T^t y$. By [[2], Theorem 1.34], there are $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\beta\}$ of E^+ such that $x_\alpha \downarrow x$ and $y_\beta \downarrow y$. It follows that $x_\alpha \land y_\beta \downarrow x \land y$. Then by order continuity of $T: E \to F$ and Theorem 4 (3), we have the following equalities,

$$T^{t}(x \wedge y) = \inf\{T(x_{\alpha} \wedge y_{\beta})\} = \inf\{T(x_{\alpha}) \wedge T(y_{\beta})\}$$
$$= \inf\{T(x_{\alpha})\} \wedge \inf\{T(y_{\beta})\} = T^{t}x \wedge T^{t}y.$$

By combining Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 2.14 from [2] with Theorem 3, we can conclude that the mapping $T^t : (E^t)^+ \to (F^t)^+$ has a unique extension $T^t : (E^t) \to (F^t)$, which is a lattice homomorphism. Now, we will show that $T^t \in \mathcal{L}_n(E^t, F)$. Let $\{x_\alpha\} \subseteq (E^t)^+$ be such that $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$. Put

$$A = \{ y \in E^+ : \exists \alpha \text{ such that } x_\alpha \leq y \}.$$

Since E majorizes E^t , it follows that A is not empty. By using Theorem 5 since T is positive, T^t is positive. Thus $\inf T(A) \ge \inf T^t x_{\alpha} \ge 0$ holds in F. Since $A \downarrow 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}_n(E, F)$, it follows that $\inf T(A) = 0$, and so $T^t x_{\alpha} \downarrow 0$.

- 3. Let $x, y \in E^t$ satisfying $|x| \wedge |y| = 0$. Assume that $(x_{\alpha}), (y_{\beta}) \subseteq E^+$ such that $x_{\alpha} \uparrow |x|$ and $y_{\beta} \uparrow |y|$. It follows that $(x_{\alpha} \wedge y_{\beta}) \uparrow |x| \wedge |y| = 0$, and so $x_{\alpha} \wedge y_{\beta} = 0$, by [[2], Theorem 2.36], follows that $|Tx_{\alpha}| \wedge y_{\beta} = 0$ for each α and β . Since $|Tx_{\alpha}| \wedge y_{\beta} \uparrow |Tx| \wedge |y|$, we have $|Tx| \perp |y|$, and so by another using [[2], Theorem 2.36], proof follows.
- 4. Let $x, y \in E^t$ satisfying $x \perp y$. Assume that $(x_{\alpha}), (y_{\beta}) \subseteq E^+$ such that $x_{\alpha} \uparrow |x|$ and $y_{\beta} \uparrow |y|$. It follows that $(x_{\alpha} \land y_{\beta}) \uparrow |x| \land |y| = 0$. Now since T preserve disjointness, follows that $Tx_{\alpha} \perp Tx_{\beta}$. From our hypothesis, we have $Tx_{\alpha} \land Tx_{\beta} \uparrow T^t |x| \land T^t |y|$ which follows that $T^t |x| \land T^t |y| = 0$. Since $|T^t x| \land |T^t y| \leq T^t |x| \land T^t |y|$, we have $T^t x \perp T^t y$.
- 5. Since $T = T^+ T^-$, without loss generality, we assume that T is a positive operator. Assume that $\{x_n\} \subseteq (E^t)^+$ is increasing sequence with $\sup ||x_n||_t < +\infty$. By using Lemma 1, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are positive increasing sequences $\{x_{n,m}\}_m$ with $x_{n,m} \uparrow_m x_n$ and $||x_n - x_{n,m}||_t \to 0$. Take $y_n = \bigvee_{i,i=1}^n x_{i,j}$. It follows that $0 \leq y_n \uparrow$ and

$$\sup ||y_n|| \le \sup_{i,j} ||x_{i,j}|| \le \sup ||x_n|| < +\infty.$$

By assumption there is $s^* \in F$ such that $||Ty_n - s^*|| \to 0$. Then by using [[2], Theorem 2.46], $Ty_n \uparrow s^*$. By Theorem 5, we know that T^t is norm continuous from E^t into F. It follows that $||T^tx_n - Tx_{n,m}|| \xrightarrow{m} 0$ holds in F. The inequality $Tx_{n,m} \leq Ty_n \leq T^tx_n$ implies that

$$||T^t x_n - s^*|| \le ||T^t x_n - T x_{n,m}|| \text{ for each } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$||T^{t}x_{n} - s^{*}|| \le ||T^{t}x_{n} - Ty_{n}|| + ||Ty_{n} - s^{*}|| \to 0.$$

Thus $T^t x_n \to s^*$, and the proof follows. The converse is straightforward.

References

- C. D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, Locally Solid Riesz Spaces with Application to Economics, Mathematical Surveys, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
 C. D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, Positive Operators, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- 3. P. Meyer-Nieberg, Banach lattices, Universitex. Springer, Berlin. MR1128093, 1991.
- O. van Gaans Seminorms on ordered vector space that extend to Riesz seminorms on large spaces, Indag Mathem, N8, 14(1), 15–30 (2003).