An Upper Bound for the Index of the Second *n*-Center Subgroup of An *n*-Abelian Group

Azam Pourmirzaei

Received: 25 December 2021 / Accepted: 31 January 2022

Abstract Let n be a positive integer. A group G is said to be n-abelian, if $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$, for any $x, y \in G$. In 1979, Fay and Waals introduced the n-potent and the n-center subgroups of a group G, as $G_n = \langle [x, y^n] | x, y \in G \rangle$, $Z^n(G) = \{x \in G | xy^n = y^n x, \forall y \in G\}$, respectively. Also, the second n-center subgroup, $Z_2^n(G)$, is defined by $Z_2^n(G)/Z^n(G) = Z^n(G/Z^n(G))$. In this paper, we give an upper bound for the index of the second n-center subgroup of any n-abelian group G in terms of the order of n-potent subgroup G_n .

Keywords n-abelian group \cdot n-center subgroup \cdot n-potent subgroup.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 20F14 · 20D99

1 Introduction

Abelian groups are important in the theory of group. For that reason many generalizations have been considered and exploited. One, in particular, is the idea of an *n*-abelian group. This concept has first been discussed by F. Levi [6,7] and it will play an important role in our discussion. If *n* is an integer and $n \ge 1$, then a group *G* is said to be *n*-abelian if $(xy)^n = x^ny^n$, for all elements x and y in *G*, from which it follows that $[x^n, y] = [x, y]^n = [x^n, y^n]$. Thus a group is 2-abelian if and only if it is abelian, while non abelian *n*-abelian groups do exist for every n > 2. Other self-evident fact about *n*-abelian groups are that every *n*-abelian group is (1 - n)-abelian, and conversely. Indeed, *n*-abelian groups have been classified by Alperin [1]. A detailed introduction to *n*-abelian groups can be found in Baer's paper [2].

In this article we use two other concepts, the *n*-potent and the *n*-center subgroups of a group G, that have been introduced by Fay and Waals [3]. For

A. Pourmirzaei

Department of Mathematics, Hakim Sabzevari University, P. O. Box 96179-76487, Sabzevar, Iran.

E-mail: a.pormirzaei@hsu.ac.ir

a positive integer n, the n-potent and the n-center subgroups of a group G are defined respectively, as follows

$$G_n = \langle [x, y^n] | x, y \in G \rangle,$$

$$Z^n(G) = \{ x \in G | xy^n = y^n x, \forall y \in G \},$$

where $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. It is easy to see that G_n is a fully invariant subgroup and $Z^n(G)$ is a characteristic subgroup of group G. The second *n*-center subgroup, $Z_2^n(G)$, is defined by $Z_2^n(G)/Z^n(G) = Z^n(G/Z^n(G))$. The concepts of *n*-potent and *n*-center subgroups are extensions of the important subgroups G'and Z(G), respectively. One of the considerable problems in the group theory is the study on the relationship between the derived subgroup and the center factor group. In 1951, B.H Neumann [9] used an implicit idea of I. Schur [14] and proved that G' is finite when [G : Z(G)] is finite. This important result has been known as the Schur's theorem. We know the converse is not true for infinite extra special groups (see [4]). However P. Hall in [4] showed that if G'is finite then $[G : Z_2(G)]$ is bounded in terms of |G'|. The first explicit bound was given by I.D. Macdonald [8]. Improving this bound, K. Podoski and B. Szegedy [11] proved that $[G : Z_2(G)] \leq |G'|^{2\log_2 |G'|}$. They [10] also proved that if G is a (not necessarily finite) group with $[G' : G' \cap Z(G)] = n$, then $[G : Z_2(G)] \leq n^{2\log_2 n}$.

Our motivation of writing this paper is to study the relation between the orders of the second *n*-center factor and *n*-potent subgroup of an *n*-abelian group. We first prove that if $G = H/Z^n(H)$ in which H is a finite *n*-abelian group, then the index of the *n*-center subgroup is bounded by some function of the order of *n*-potent subgroup. Then we find an upper bound for the index of the second *n*-center subgroup of any *n*-abelian group G in terms of the order of *n*-potent subgroup G_n .

2 Main Results

This section is devoted to obtain our main results. We begin with a key lemma.

Lemma 1 Let G be an n-abelian group such that $|G_n| = t$ is finite and A be an n-central subgroup of G such that [G : A] = m is finite. Then

$$[G: Z^n(G)] \le m^{1+\log_2 t}$$

Proof First, we find a subset $X \subseteq G$ such that

$$G = \langle X, A \rangle$$
 and $|X| \leq \log_2 m$.

For this, put $A_0 = A$ and recursively construct subgroups A_i such that

$$A_i = \langle A_{i-1}, x_i \rangle,$$

where x_i is chosen arbitrarily in $G \setminus A_{i-1}$. So we have

$$A = A_0 < A_1 < \dots < A_r = G,$$

for some integer r. It is easy to see that $G = \langle X, A \rangle$, in which

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}.$$

On the other hand, since $[A_i : A_{i-1}] \ge 2$, we have

$$m = [G:A] = [A_r:A_{r-1}] \cdots [A_1:A_0] \ge 2^r.$$

Hence,

$$r \le \log_2 m. \tag{1}$$

Put $\overline{X} = \{x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n\}$. Since $(x_i^n)^g = x_i^n [x_i^n, g]$, each conjugacy class of x_i^n in G is contained in some coset of G_n in G, and thus each of the classes has cardinality no longer than $|G_n|$. This implies that $[G : C_G(x_i^n)] \leq |G_n|$ for all $x \in X$ and so we have

$$[G: C_G(\bar{X})] = [G: \bigcap_{1 \le i \le r} C_G(x_i^n)] \le \prod_{1 \le i \le r} [G: C_G(x_i^n)] \le |G_n|^r.$$
(2)

Now we claim that $A \cap C_G(\overline{X})$ is a subgroup of $Z^n(G)$.

Suppose that $a \in A \cap C_G(\bar{X})$. Then $[a, x^n] = [a, b^n] = 1$, for all $x \in X$ and $b \in A$. On the other hand, G is an *n*-abelian group which is generated by A and X, and A is *n*-central. So we have $[a, g^n] = 1$ for all $g \in G$. Therefore, $a \in Z^n(G)$ and the claim is proved. Then by using (1) and (2), we have

$$[G: Z^{n}(G)] \leq [G: A \cap C_{G}(\bar{X})]$$

= $[G: A][A: A \cap C_{G}(\bar{X})]$
 $\leq [G: A]|G_{n}|^{r}$
 $< \operatorname{mt}^{log_{2}m} = \operatorname{mm}^{log_{2}t}.$

Therefore the desired assertion follows.

We come now to the Main Result of this paper. In order to prove the Main Result, we first consider n-abelian groups with trivial n-center.

Theorem 1 Let G be an n-abelian group. There exits a function f(t) defined on natural numbers such that if $Z^n(G) = 1$ and $|G_n| = t$ is finite, then $|G| \le f(t)$.

Proof Put $C = C_G(G_n)$ and m = [G : C]. Consider the map

$$\varphi: G \to Aut(G_n),$$

defined by $g \mapsto \varphi(g)$, in which $\varphi(g)(x) = x^g$, for all $x \in G_n$ and $g \in G$. It is easy to check that φ is a homomorphism with ker $\varphi = C$. Hence, G/C is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Aut(G_n)$. This implies that $m \leq t!$ and so m is bounded by a function of t. On the other hand, $[G, G^n, C] = [G_n, C] = 1$. It follows that $[C, G^n, C] = 1$. Then by the Three Subgroups Lemma, we have $[C, C, G^n] = 1$ and so $[C, C^n, G^n] = 1$. Thus $[C, C^n] \leq Z^n(G) = 1$ and we conclude that Cis an n-central subgroup of G. Now by applying Lemma 1 for the n-central subgroup $C \subseteq G$ of index m, we conclude that $|G| = [G : Z^n(G)] \leq m^{1+\log_2 t}$. Then the result follows, because m is bounded by t!. A group G is said to be *n*-capable if there exists a group E such that $G \cong E/Z^n(E)$ (See more details in [12]). Before we state the Main Result of the paper, we define a function b(t), recursively. Assume that f(t) is the function defined in Theorem 1. Let b(1) = 1, and for t > 1, we define

$$b(t) = \max\{f(t), (tM)^{1 + \log_2 t}\}\$$

in which M is the maximum value of b(t/q), where q runs over all prime divisors of t. It is easy to see that $f(t) \leq b(t)$ and $b(k) \leq b(t)$, if k divides t.

Theorem 2 Let $G = H/Z^n(H)$ in which H is a finite n-abelian group. Then there exists a function b(t) defined on the natural numbers such that

$$[G: Z^n(G)] \le b(|G_n|).$$

Proof Let $|G_n| = t$. We have

$$\begin{split} G_n &= [G,G^n] = [H/Z^n(H), H^n Z^n(H)/Z^n(H)] \\ &= [H,H^n] Z^n(H)/Z^n(H). \end{split}$$

Putting $U = H_n Z^n(H)$, we have

$$|U/Z^n(H)| = t. (3)$$

We use induction on the order of H to show that $[G : Z^n(G)] \leq b(t)$. If H = 1, then G = 1 and the inequality is trivially true. Now suppose that $|H| \geq 1$ and the assertion holds for all groups with order less than |H|. We prove the assertion for H. We can consider Z(H) > 1. Because, if Z(H) = 1, then one can see easily Z(G) = 1. Hence G is capable and by [5, Theorem A], the result holds. Now, since Z(H) > 1, there exists a normal subgroup T of G such that $T \leq Z(H) \leq Z^n(H)$ and |T| = p where p is a prime number. Put $Y/T = Z^n(H/T)$. Then Y is a normal subgroup of H and

$$H/Y = (H/T)/Z^n(H/T),$$

is *n*-capable. Also, since $Z^n(H)T/T = Z^n(H/T) = Y/T$, we have

$$Z^n(H) \le Y \cap U.$$

Now, we consider two cases.

Case 1. Suppose $Z^n(H) = Y \cap U$. Then we have

$$(H/Y)_n = H_n Y/Y = H_n Z^n(H) Y/Y$$
$$= UY/Y \cong U/(Y \cap U)$$
$$= U/Z^n(H).$$

Hence, by using (3), we have $|(H/Y)_n| = t$. On the other hand, $|H/Y| \le |H/T| < |H|$ and H/Y is an *n*-abelian group. Therefore, by induction hypothesis, $[H/Y : Z^n(H/Y)] \le b(t)$. Assume that $A/Y = Z^n(H/Y)$. Then we have $[H : A] \le b(t)$. Also, since $[A, H^n] \leq Y \cap U = Z^n(H)$, we have $A/Z^n(H) \leq Z^n(H/Z^n(H))$. This implies that

$$[G: Z^{n}(G)] \leq [H/Z^{n}(H): A/Z^{n}(H)] = [H:A] \leq b(t),$$

and the assertion holds.

Case 2. Suppose $Z^n(H) < Y \cap U$.

Let y be an element of $(Y \cap U) Z^n(H)$. Set $C = C_H(y^n) \le H$. Since

 $[Y, H^n] \le T \le Z^n(H),$

we have $[h_1, y^n, h_2] = 1$, for all $h_1, h_2 \in H$. So the map $h \mapsto [h, y^n]$ defines a homomorphism φ from H into T with ker $\varphi = C$. Thus H/C is isomorphic to a subgroup of T. On the other hand, since y does not belong to $Z^n(H)$, we have C < H. Therefore

$$[H:C] = p. \tag{4}$$

It is easy to see that

$$U = [H, H^n]Z^n(H) \subseteq C_H(y^n) = C.$$

Also, since $[H^n, Y] \subseteq T$, we have $1 = [h^n, y]^p = [h^n, y^p]$ for all $h \in H$. This implies that $y^p \in Z^n(H)$ and so y has order p modulo $Z^n(H)$. Let $X = Z^n(C)$. Then $y \in X \cap U$ and so $yZ^n(H) \in (X \cap U)/Z^n(H)$. It follows that $p = |yZ^n(H)|$ divides $[X \cap U : Z^n(H)]$. This implies that $[U : X \cap U]$ is a divisor of $[U : Z^n(H)]/p = t/p$. On the other hand, X is a normal subgroup of H and

$$(H/X)_n = H_n X/X = UX/X \cong U/(X \cap U).$$

Hence $|(H/X)_n| = |U/(X \cap U)|$ divides t/p. It follows that $|(C/X)_n|$ divides t/p. Also C/X is an *n*-capable group such that |C/X| < |H|. Therefore by induction hypothesis we have

$$[C/X : Z^{n}(C/X)] \le b(|(C/X)_{n}|) \le b(t/p).$$

Put $V/X = Z^n(C/X)$. Then we have

$$[C:V] \le b(t/p). \tag{5}$$

By using (4), H/C is cyclic. So there exists an element $h \in H \setminus C$ such that $H = \langle h, C \rangle = \langle h \rangle C$. Set $S/X = C_{V/X}(h^n X)$. Then we have

$$[V:S] = [V/X: C_{V/X}(h^n X)] \le |(V/X)_n| \le |(H/X)_n| \le t/p.$$

Hence applying (4) and (5), we have

$$[H:S] = [H:C][C:V][V:S] \le tb(t/p) \le tM,$$
(6)

where M is the maximum value of b(t/q), as q runs over all prime divisors of t. Now we claim that

$$[S, H^n] \le X = Z^n(C).$$

Let $a \in S$ and $b \in H$ be arbitrary elements. Then $b = h^r c$ for an integer r and $c \in C$. Since $S \leq V$ and $[V, C^n] \leq X$, we have $[a, c^n] \in X$. Also, by the definition of S, $[a, h^n] \in X$. Then by commutator calculus we can conclude that $[a, b^n] \in X$ and the claim is proved.

On the other hand, $S/X \leq V/X \leq C/X$ and so $S \leq C$. Therefor we have $[S, H^n, S^n] = 1$. Hence, by the Three Subgroup Lemma, we have

$$[S, S^n] \le Z^n(H).$$

It follows that $S/Z^n(H)$ is *n*-central. Then Lemma 1, implies that

 $[G: Z^{n}(G)] \leq [H/Z^{n}(H): S/Z^{n}(H)]^{1+\log_{2}t} = [H:S]^{1+\log_{2}t}.$

Then by using (6) we have $[G: Z^n(G)] \leq (tM)^{1+\log_2 t}$ and the required assertion follows.

As an immediate consequence, we have the following interesting result.

Corollary 1 Let G be an n-abeian group. Then the index of the second ncenter of G is bounded above by some function of $|G_n/(G_n \cap Z^n(G))|$.

Proof By consider the factor group $G/Z^n(G)$ and applying Theorem 2, we have

$$[G: Z_2^n(G)] = [G/Z^n(G): Z^n(G/Z^n(G))] \le b(|(G/Z^n(G))_n|).$$

Now, since

$$(G/Z^n(G))_n = G_n Z^n(G)/Z^n(G) \cong G_n/(G_n \cap Z^n(G)),$$

the result follows.

References

- 1. J. L. Alperin, A classification of *n*-abelian groups, Canad. J. Math., 21, 1238–1244 (1969).
- R. Baer, Factorization of n-soluble and n-nilpotent groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 4, 15–29 (1953).
- T. H. Fay, G. L. Waals, Some remarks on n-potent and n-abelian groups, J. Indian. Math. Soc., 47, 217–222 (1983).
- 4. P. Hall, Finite-by-nilpotent groups, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 52, 611-616 (1956).
- 5. I.M. Isaacs, Derived subgroups and centers of capable groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129, 2853–2859 (2001).
- 6. F.W. Levi, Notes on group theory I, J. Indian. Math. Soc., 8, 1–7 (1944).
- F.W. Levi, Notes on group theory VII, J. Indian. Math. Soc., 9, 37–42 (1945).
 I.D. Macdonald, Some explicit bounds in groups with finite derived groups, proc. London math. Soc., 11, 23–56 (1961).
- B.H. Neumann, Groups with finite classes of conjugate elements. Proc. London. Math. Soc., 3, 178–187 (1951).
- K. Podoski, B. Szegedy, Bounds for the index of the centre in capable groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133, 3441–3445 (2005).
- K. Podoski, B. Szegedy, Bounds in groups with finite Abelian coverings or with finite derived groups, J. Group Theory, 5, 443 452 (2002).
- M.R. Rismanchian, Some properties of n-capable and n-perfect groups, J. Sci. I.R. Iran, 24, 361–364 (2013).
- 13. D.J.S. Robinson, A Course in the Theory of Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).
- I. Schur, Über die darstellung der endlichen gruppen durch gebrochene lineare substitutionen, Für. Math. J., 127, 20–50 (1904).