Pairs of Finite Dimensional Nilpotent and Filiform Lie Algebras

Homayoon Arabyani $\,\cdot\,$ Elaheh Khamseh

Received: 27 September 2021 / Accepted: 22 November 2021

Abstract Let (N, L) be a pair of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. If N admits a complement K in L such that dim N = n and dim K = m, then dim $\mathcal{M}(N, L) = \frac{1}{2}n(n+2m-1) - t(N, L)$, where $\mathcal{M}(N, L)$ is the Schur multiplier of the pair (N, L) and t(N, L) is a non-negative integer. In this paper, we characterize the pair (N, L) for $t(N, L) = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 23$, where N is a finite dimensional filiform Lie algebra and N, K are ideals of L such that $L = N \oplus K$. Moreover, we classify the pair (N, L) for s'(N, L) = 3, where $s'(N, L) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) + 1 + (n-1)m - \dim \mathcal{M}(N, L)$, L is a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra and N is a non-abelian ideal of L.

Keywords Filiform Lie algebra \cdot n
ilpotent Lie algebra \cdot pair of Lie algebra
s \cdot Schur multiplier.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 17B30 · 17B60 · 17B99

1 Introduction

All Lie algebras are considered over a fixed field Λ and [,] denotes the Lie bracket. Let (N, L) be a pair of Lie algebras, in which N is an ideal in L. The *Schur multiplier* of (N, L) is the abelian Lie algebra $\mathcal{M}(N, L)$ appearing in the

H. Arabyani (Corresponding Author)

Department of Mathematics, Neyshabur Branch, Islamic Azad University, Neyshabur, Iran. Tel.: +123-45-678910 Fax: +123-45-678910

E-mail: arabyani.h@gmail.com, h.arabyani@iau-neyshabur.ac.ir

E. Khamseh Department of Mathematics, Shahr-e-Qods Branch, Islamic Azad University Tehran, Iran.

following natural exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$H_3(L) \to H_3(L/N) \to \mathcal{M}(N,L) \to \mathcal{M}(L) \to \mathcal{M}(L/N) \to \frac{L}{[N,L]} \to \frac{L}{L^2} \to \frac{L}{(L^2+N)} \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{M}(-)$, $H_3(-)$ and L^2 denote the Schur multiplier, the third homology of a Lie algebra and the derived subalgebra of L, respectively. Let $0 \to R \to F \to L \to 0$ be a free presentation of L. Then $\mathcal{M}(N, L)$ is defined to be the factor Lie algebra $(R \cap [S, F])/[R, F]$, in which S is an ideal in F such that $N \cong S/R$ (see [1,12], for more information). In particular, if N = L, then $\mathcal{M}(L, L) = \mathcal{M}(L)$ is the Schur multiplier of L. Moneyhun [8] proved that if L is a Lie algebra of dimension n, then dim $\mathcal{M}(L) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) - t(L)$, where t(L) is a non-negative integer. In [2,5,6], all nilpotent Lie algebras are characterized, when $t(L) = 0, 1, \ldots, 8$. Let (N, L) be a pair of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. Saeedi et al. [12] proved that if N admits a complement K say, in L with dim N = n and dim K = m, then

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(N,L) = \frac{1}{2}n(n+2m-1) - t(N,L),$$
(1)

where $t(N, L) \ge 0$. This gives us the Moneyhun's result, if m = 0. The first author and colleagues [1] characterized the pair (N, L), for which t(N, L) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. Moreover, they determined pairs (N, L) for $t(N, L) = 0, 1, \ldots, 10$, when L is a filiform Lie algebra. Also, Niroomand and Russo [10] proved that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(L) \le \frac{1}{2}(n+m-2)(n-m-1)+1,$$
(2)

where L is a non-abelian nilpotent Lie algebra with dim L = n and dim $L^2 = m$. The above upper bound implies that dim $\mathcal{M}(L) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)+1-s(L)$, where $s(L) \geq 0$. Niroomand et al. in [9–11] classified the structure of L, when s(L) = 0, 1, 2, 3.

Also in [7], using (1), all pairs (N, L) are classified, when t(N, L) = 0, 1, ..., 6. Moreover, it is proved under some conditions that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(N,L) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) + 1 + (n-1)m - s'(N,L),$$
(3)

where $s'(N, L) \ge 0$, dim N = n and dim K = m. Furthermore, all pairs (N, L) are also classified for s'(N, L) = 0, 1, 2.

In the present paper, we continue the above works and characterize all pairs (N, L), when L is a finite dimensional filiform Lie algebra and $t(N, L) = 0, 1, \ldots, 23$. Moreover, using (3), we classify all pairs (N, L) for s'(N, L) = 3.

Note that in the proof of main theorems, the upper bound (2) enables us to provide a new technique in our classification which makes the upper bound 3 smaller than the one in (1).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we discuss some preliminary results which will be used in the main theorems. A filiform Lie algebra is an algebra with maximal nilpotency class. More precisely, an *n*-dimensional Lie algebra L is called filiform, if dim $L^i = n - i$, for $2 \le i \le n$, where L^i is the *i*th term of the lower central series of L. In [4], filiform Lie algebras are classified up to dimension 11.

The following theorem is proved by H. Darabi and M. Eshrati. Also, by using [11] and [13] we can prove it.

Theorem 1 Suppose A is a filiform n-Lie algebra. Then

(i) t(A) = 1 if and only if A is isomorphic to H(n, 1); (ii) t(A) = 4 if and only if A is isomorphic to $L_{4,3}$; (iii) t(A) = 7 if and only if A is isomorphic to $L_{5,6}$, $L_{5,7}$ or $A_{3,5,2}$; (iv) t(A) = 11 if and only if A is isomorphic to $A_{4,6,2}$; (v) t(A) = 12 if and only if A is isomorphic to $L_{6,15}$, $L_{6,17}$ or $L_{6,18}$; (vi) t(A) = 13 if and only if A is isomorphic to $L_{6,14}$ or $L_{6,16}$; (vii) t(A) = 15 if and only if A is isomorphic to $A_{3,6,6}$; (viii) t(A) = 16 if and only if A is isomorphic to F_7^1 , F_7^3 , F_7^5 , F_7^6 , F_7^7 or F_7^8 ; (x) t(A) = 18 if and only if A is isomorphic to F_7^2 or F_7^4 ; (xi) t(A) = 22 if and only if A is isomorphic to $A_{6,8,2}$.

There is no filiform Lie algebra for t(A) = 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, 19, 20, 21and 23.

Here H(m) denotes the Heisenberg Lie algebra of dimension 2m + 1, A(n) is an *n*-dimensional abelian Lie algebra and L(a, b, c, d) denotes the algebra discovered for the case t(L) = a, where $b = \dim L$, $c = \dim Z(L)$ and d = t(L).

Lemma 1 ([1], Lemma 3.1) Let N and L be finite filiform Lie algebras. Then $L \ncong N \oplus A(n)$.

Theorem 2 ([10], Theorem 3.1) Let L be a non-abelian nilpotent Lie algebra such that dim(L) = n and dim(L²) = $m \ge 1$. Then

dim
$$\mathcal{M}(L) \le \frac{1}{2}(n+m-2)(n-m-1)+1$$

Moreover, if m = 1, then the equality holds if and only if $L \cong H(1) \oplus A(n-3)$.

The above upper bound implies that $\dim \mathcal{M}(L) \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) + 1 - s(L)$, where $s(L) \geq 0$. Niroomand et al. in [9–11] classified the structure of L, when s(L) = 0, 1, 2, 3 as follows:

Lemma 2 ([11, Lemma 3.3]) Let L be an n-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra and $\dim L^2 = 1$. Then for some $m \ge 1$,

$$L \cong H(m) \oplus A(n-2m-1).$$

Note that in the statement of the above result in [9], it is not mistakenly stated that L is nilpotent.

Theorem 3 ([9–11]) Let L be a non-abelian n-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra. Then

- (i) s(L) = 0 if and only if $L \cong H(1) \oplus A(n-3)$;
- (*ii*) s(L) = 1 *if and only if* $L \cong L(4, 5, 2, 4)$;
- (iii) s(L) = 2 if and only if $L \cong L(3, 4, 1, 4), L(4, 5, 2, 4) \oplus A(1)$ or $H(m) \oplus A(n 2m 1)$ $(m \ge 2);$
- (iv) s(L) = 3 if and only if $L \cong L(4, 5, 1, 6)$, L(5, 6, 2, 7), $L(3, 4, 1, 4) \oplus A(1)$, $L(4, 5, 2, 4) \oplus A(2)$ or $L_{6,26}$.

3 Main Results

In this section, we classify finite dimensional pairs of filiform Lie algebras with t(N, L) = 0, 1, 2, ..., 23. Moreover, we characterize the structure of finite dimensional pairs (N, L) with s'(N, L) = 3.

Theorem 4 Let (N, L) be a pair of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras and K be an ideal of L such that $L = N \oplus K$, dim N = n, dim K = m, N be a filiform Lie algebra and dim $\mathcal{M}(N, L) = \frac{1}{2}n(n + 2m - 1) - t(N, L)$, where $t(N, L) \ge 0$. Then

- (a) If N is an abelian Lie algebra, then $(N,L) \cong (A(2), A(2) \oplus K)$ where $\dim K^2 = \frac{1}{2}t(N,L);$
- (b) If N is a non-abelian Lie algebra, then:
- (1) t(N, L) = 1 if and only if $(N, L) \cong (H(1), H(1))$;
- (2) t(N,L) = 4 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (L(3,4,1,4), L(3,4,1,4));$
- (3) t(N,L) = 7 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (L_{5,6}, L_{5,6}), (L_{5,7}, L_{5,7})$ or $(A_{3,5,2}, A_{3,5,2});$
- (4) t(N,L) = 11 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (A_{4,6,2}, A_{4,6,2})$;
- (5) t(N,L) = 12 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (L_{6,15}, L_{6,15}), (L_{6,17}, L_{6,17})$ or $(L_{6,18}, L_{6,18});$
- (6) t(N,L) = 13 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (L_{6,14}, L_{6,14}), (L_{6,16}, L_{6,16});$
- (7) t(N,L) = 15 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (A_{3,6,6}, A_{3,6,6});$
- (8) t(N,L) = 16 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (A_{5,7,2}, A_{5,7,2})$ or $(A_{3,6,7}, A_{3,6,7})$;
- (9) t(N,L) = 17 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (L_1,L_1), (L_2,L_2), (L_4,L_4), (L_5,L_5)$ or (L_8,L_8) for $\lambda = 3$;
- (10) t(N,L) = 18 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (L_3,L_3), (L_6,L_6), (L_7,L_7)$ or (L_8,L_8) for $\lambda \neq 3$;
- (11) t(N,L) = 22 if and only if $(N,L) \cong (A_{6,8,2}, A_{6,8,2})$;
- (12) There is no pair for t(N, L) = 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, 19, 20, 21 and 23.

Proof Put $l = l(N) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) - \dim \mathcal{M}(N)$ and

$$t = t(N, L) = \frac{1}{2}n(n+2m-1) - \dim \mathcal{M}(N, L),$$

where l and t are non-negative integers. A well-known result in [7] states that $\dim \mathcal{M}(N, L) = \dim N + \dim(N/N^2 \otimes K/K^2)$. Thus one may easily obtain that

$$mn = (t - l) + (\dim N/N^2)(\dim K/K^2).$$
(4)

Now since dim $K/K^2 \leq m$ and dim $N/N^2 = n - \dim N^2$, then

$$m.\dim N^2 \le t - l,\tag{5}$$

which implies that $l \leq t$.

(a) Let N be an abelian Lie algebra. Then by Theorem 1, $N \cong A(2)$. Therefore, $(N, L) \cong (A(2), A(2) \oplus K)$, where dim $K^2 = \frac{1}{2}t$, by (4).

(b) If N is a non-abelian Lie algebra, then we have

Case t = 1. By (5), l = 1 and m = 0. So, using Theorem 1 we get $(N, L) \cong (H(1), H(1))$.

Case t = 2. If l = 1, then by Theorem 1 and (5) we have $N \cong H(1)$ and $m \leq 1$. If m = 0, then $(N, L) \cong (H(1), H(1))$, which contradicts the case t = 1. Assume that m = 1, then $K \cong A(1)$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 1. If l = 2, then there is no pair by Theorem 1.

Case t = 3. One can easily check that Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 imply that there is no pair.

Case t = 4. If l = 1, then by (5), $m \leq 3$. Now if m = 0, then $(N, L) \cong (H(1), H(1))$ which contradicts the case t = 1. If m = 1, 2, then there does not exist any pair by Lemma 1. Suppose that m = 3, then $K \cong H(1)$ or A(3). If $K \cong A(3)$, then there is not any pair by Lemma 1. If $K \cong H(1)$, there is not any pair by (3.4). Assume that l = 4, then by (5), we get m = 0 and so Theorem 1 implies that

$$N, L) \cong (L(3, 4, 1, 4), L(3, 4, 1, 4)).$$

Case t = 5. In this case l = 1 or 4. If l = 1, then $m \leq 4$. Now if m = 3, then by (4) we obtain a contradiction. If m = 4, then there is not any pair by (4) and Lemma 1. Suppose that l = 4, then using Theorem 1 and (5) we have t = 4, which is impossible.

Case t = 6. If l = 1, then $m \leq 5$. If m = 3, then (4) implies that $\dim K^2 = 1$. Hence, $\dim L/L^2 = 4$, which is a contradiction. If m = 5, then by Lemma 1 and (4), there is not any pair. Suppose that l = 4, then there is no pair by Lemma 1.

Case t = 7. If l = 1, 4, then by a similar manner, there does not exist any pair. If l = 7, then using Theorem 1, we get $N \cong L_{5,6}, L_{5,7}$ or $A_{3,5,2}$. Hence

$$(N,L) \cong (L_{5,6}, L_{5,6}), (L_{5,7}, L_{5,7}) \text{ or } (A_{3,5,2}, A_{3,5,2}).$$

Cases t = 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 22. Similar to the previous cases, we have

$$\begin{split} &(N,L)\cong (A_{4,6,2},A_{4,6,2}), (A_{6,8,2},A_{6,8,2}), (L_{6,15},L_{6,15}), (L_{6,17},L_{6,17})\\ &(L_{6,18},L_{6,18}), (L_{6,14},L_{6,14}), (L_{6,16},L_{6,16}), (A_{3,6,6},A_{3,6,6}),\\ &(A_{5,7,2},A_{5,7,2}), (A_{3,6,7},A_{3,6,7}), (L_1,L_1), (L_2,L_2), (L_4,L_4),\\ &(L_5,L_5), (L_8,L_8) \ for \ \lambda=3, (L_3,L_3), (L_7,L_7), (L_6,L_6),\\ ∨(L_8,L_8) \ for \ \lambda\neq3. \end{split}$$

Finally, in the cases t = 8, 9, 10, 19, 20, 21, 23, by a similar manner one can easily see that there is no any pair.

Theorem 5 Let L be a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra, N and K be ideals of L, dim N = n, dim K = m, dim $N^2 \ge 1$ and

$$s' = s'(N,L) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) + 1 + (n-1)m - \dim \mathcal{M}(N,L).$$

Then s' = 3 if and only if (N, L) is isomorphic to one of the following pairs:

(1) $(H(1) \oplus A(1), H(1) \oplus H(r) \oplus A(m-2r)) \ (r \ge 1),$ (2) $(L_{5,8} \oplus A(i), L_{5,8} \oplus A(2)) \ (0 \le i \le 2),$ (3) $(L_{4,3} \oplus A(i), L_{4,3} \oplus A(1)) \ (i = 0, 1),$ (4) $(L_{6,22}(\epsilon), L_{6,22}),$ (5) $(L_{6,26}, L_{6,26}),$ (6) $(L_{5,5}, L_{5,5}).$

Proof Put $s = s(N) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) + 1 - \dim \mathcal{M}(N)$. Using again the equality $\dim \mathcal{M}(N, L) = \dim N + \dim(N/N^2 \otimes K/K^2)$, we get

$$mn - m = (s' - s) + (\dim N/N^2)(\dim K/K^2).$$
 (6)

It is not difficult to show that $s \leq s'$, since $\dim K/K^2 \leq m$, $\dim N/N^2 = n - \dim N^2$, and $\dim N^2 \geq 1$. Now, suppose that s' = 3, then s = 0, 1, 2 or 3. By using Lemma 2, Theorem 3 and similar to Theorem 4 we can prove these results.

Table	e^{1}

t(I	A) Filiforn	n n-Lie algebra	t(A)	Filiform n-Lie Algebra
0		Abelian	12	$L_{6,15}, L_{6,17}, L_{6,18}$
1		H(n,1)	13	$L_{6,14}, L_{6,16}$
2		None	14	None
3		None	15	A _{3,6,6}
4		$L_{4,3}$	16	$A_{5,7,2}, A_{3,6,7}$
5		None	17	$L_1, L_2, L_4, L_5, L_8 \text{ for } \lambda = 3$
6		None	18	$L_3, L_6, L_7, L_8 \text{ for } \lambda \neq 3$
7	L5,6	$, L_{5,7}, A_{3,5,2}$	19	None
8		None	20	None
6		None	20	None
1)	None	22	A _{6,8,2}
1	L	$A_{4,6,2}$	23	None

n-Lie algebra	None-zero multiplications
$L_{4,3}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4$
$A_{n,n+2,2}$	$[e_1,, e_n] = e_{n+1}, [e_2,, e_{n+1} = e_{n+2}$
$A_{n,n+3,6}$	$[e_1,, e_n] = e_{n+1}, [e_2,, e_{n+1}] = e_{n+2},$
	$[e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+2}] = e_{n+3}$
$A_{n,n+3,7}$	$[e_1,, e_n] = e_{n+1}, [e_2,, e_{n+1}] = e_{n+2},$
	$[e_2,, e_n, e_{n+2}] = [e_1, e_3,, e_{n+1}] = e_{n+3}$
$L_{6,14}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = [e_2, e_3] = e_5,$
	$[e_2, e_5] = -[e_3, e_4] = e_6$
L _{6,15}	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = [e_2, e_3] = e_5$
	$[e_1, e_5] = [e_2, e_4] = e_6$
L _{6,16}	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = e_5, [e_2, e_5] = -[e_3, e_4] = e_6$
L _{6,17}	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4$
	$[e_1, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = [e_2, e_3] = e_6$
L _{6,18}	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = e_6$
$L_1 = (123457A)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (2 \le i \le 6)$
$L_2 = (123457B)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (2 \le i \le 6), [e_2, e_3] = e_7$
$L_3 = (123457C)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (2 \le i \le 6), [e_2, e_5] = e_7, [e_3, e_4] = -e_7$
$L_4(123457D)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (2 \le i \le 6), [e_2, e_4] = e_7, [e_2, e_3] = e_6$
$L_5(123457E)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (2 \le i \le 6), [e_2, e_4] = e_7, [e_2, e_3] = e_6 + e_7$
$L_6(123457F)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), [e_3, e_4] = -e_7,$
	$[e_2, e_3] = e_6, [e_2, e_4] = [e_2, e_5] = e_7$
$L_7(123457H)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), [e_2, e_4] = e_6,$
	$[e_2, e_5] = e_7, [e_2, e_3] = e_5 + e_7$
$L_8(123457I)$	$[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1} \ (i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), [e_2, e_5] = \lambda e_7,$
	$[e_3, e_4] = (1 - \lambda)e_7, [e_2, e_3] = e_5, [e_2, e_4] = e_6$

Table 2

Table 3

Lie algebra	Non-zero Multiplications
$L_{4,3}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4$
$L_{5,5}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = e_5$
$L_{5,6}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = [e_2, e_3] = e_5$
$L_{5,7}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = e_5$
$L_{5,8}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_1, e_3] = e_5$
$L_{5,9}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_2, e_3] = e_5$
$L_{6,10}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = [e_4, e_5] = e_6$
$L_{6,11}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = [e_2, e_3] = [e_2, e_5] = e_6$
$L_{6,13}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = [e_3, e_4] = e_6$
$L_{6,20}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_1, e_3] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = [e_2, e_4] = e_6$
L _{6,23}	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_4] = e_6$
$L_{6,25}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_5, [e_1, e_4] = e_6$
$L_{6,26}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_1, e_3] = e_5, [e_2, e_4] = e_6$
$L_{6,27}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_5, [e_2, e_4] = e_6$
$L_{6,19}(\epsilon)$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_4, [e_1, e_3] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = [e_2, e_4] = e_6, [e_3, e_5] = \epsilon e_6$
$L_{6,22}(\epsilon)$	$[e_1, e_2] = [e_3, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_3] = e_6, [e_2, e_4] = \epsilon e_6$
$L_{6,24}(\epsilon)$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = e_5, [e_2, e_3] = e_6, [e_1, e_4] = \epsilon e_6$
37A	$[e_1, e_2] = e_5, [e_2, e_3] = e_6, [e_2, e_4] = e_7$
37B	$[e_1, e_2] = e_5, [e_2, e_3] = e_6, [e_3, e_4] = e_7$
37D	$[e_1, e_2] = [e_3, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_3] = e_6, [e_2, e_4] = e_7$

References

- H. Arabyani, F. Saeedi, M. R. R. Moghaddam and E. Khamseh, Characterization of nilpotent Lie algebras pair by their Schur multipliers, Commun. Algebra, 42, 5474–5483 (2014).
- 2. P. Batten, K. Moneyhun, E. Stitzinger, On characterizing nilpotent Lie algebras by their multipliers, Commun. Algebra, 24, 4319–4330 (1996).
- 3. G. Ellis, The Schur multiplier of a pair of groups, Appl. Categ. Struct., 6, 355–371 (1998).
- 4. J. R. Gomez, A. Jimenez-Merchan, Y. Khakimdjanov, Low-dimensional filiform Lie algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 130, 133–158 (1998).
- 5. P. Hardy, On characterizing nilpotent Lie algebras by their multipliers III, Commun. Algebra, 33, 4205–4210 (2005).
- 6. P. Hardy, E. Stitzinger, On characterizing nilpotent Lie algebras by their multipliers, t(L) = 3, 4, 5, 6, Commun. Algebra, 26, 3527–3539 (1998).
- E. Khamseh, S. A. Niri, Classification of pair of nilpotent Lie algebras by their Schur multipliers, Math. Reports, 20, 177–185(2018).
- 8. K. Moneyhun, Isoclinisms in Lie algebras, Algebras Groups Geom., 11, 9–22 (1994).
- P. Niroomand, On dimension of the Schur multiplier of nilpotent Lie algebras, Cent. Eur. J. Math, 9, 57–64 (2011).
- P. Niroomand, F. Russo, A note on the Schur multiplier of a nilpotent Lie algebra, Commun. Algebra, 39, 1293–1297 (2011).
- 11. F. Saeedi, H. Arabyani, P. Niroomand, On dimension of Schur multiplier of nilpotent Lie algebras II, Asian-Eur. J. Math, 10, 1750076 (8 pages) (2017).
- F. Saeedi, A. R. Salemkar, B. Edalatzadeh, The commutator subalgebra and Schur multiplier of a pair of nilpotent Lie algebras, J. Lie Theory, 21, 491–498 (2011).
- A. Shamsaki, P. Niroomand, The Schur multipliers of Lie algebras of maximal class, Internat. J. Algebra. Comput, 29, 795–801 (2019).