# Derivations on Dual Triangular Banach Algebras

## Ahmad Minapoor\*

Received: 24 November 2018 / Accepted: 15 September 2019

**Abstract** Ideal Connes-amenability of dual Banach algebras was investigated in [17] by A. Minapoor, A. Bodaghi and D. Ebrahimi Bagha. They studied weak\*-continuous derivations from dual Banach algebras into their weak\*closed two- sided ideals. This work considers weak\*-continuous derivations of dual triangular Banach algebras into their weak\*-closed two- sided ideals . We investigate when weak\*-continuous derivations from these algebras into their weak\*-closed ideals are inner?

Keywords Connes-amenable  $\cdot$  Derivation  $\cdot$  triangular Banach algebra

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 46H25 · 46H20 · 46H35

### **1** Introduction

Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. Then a linear map  $D: A \longrightarrow X$  is a derivation if

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b,$$

for every  $a, b \in A$ . Let  $x \in X$ , and set  $\delta_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$  for every  $a \in A$ . Then  $\delta_x$  is a derivation, these derivations are inner derivations. The space of continuous derivations from A into X is denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}^1(A, X)$  and the subspace consisting of the inner derivations is  $\mathcal{N}^1(A, X)$ , the first cohomology group of A with coefficients in X is  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X) = \mathcal{Z}^1(A, X)/\mathcal{N}^1(A, X)$ .

\*Corresponding author

Ahmad Minapoor Department of Mathematics, Ayatollah Borujerdi University, Borujerd, Iran. Tel.: +98-66-42468320 Fax: +98-66-42468320 E-mail: shp\_np@yahoo.com

<sup>© 2019</sup> Damghan University. All rights reserved. http://gadm.du.ac.ir/

Let  $X^*$  be the dual Banach space of X. Then  $X^*$  is also a Banach Abimodule by the following actions

$$\langle x, a \cdot f \rangle = \langle x \cdot a, f \rangle$$
, and  $\langle x, f \cdot a \rangle = \langle a \cdot x, f \rangle$ ,

for every  $a \in A, x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$ . The Banach algebra A is amenable if  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$  for each Banach A-bimodule X. Amenability of Banach algebras was introduced by Johnson in [11], where it is proved that the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  of a locally compact group G is amenable if and only if G is an amenable group. Studying on amenability of  $C^*$ -algebras led to the new definition namely Connes-amenability. This notion defined on dual Banach algebras [13]. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. A Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule X is called dual if there is a closed submodule  $X_*$  of  $X^*$  such that  $X = (X_*)^*$  ( $X_*$  is called the predual of X). A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called dual if it is dual as a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra. A dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule X is called normal, if for every  $x \in X$ , the maps

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X, \ a \mapsto \begin{cases} a \cdot x \\ x \cdot a \end{cases}$$

are  $weak^*$ -continuous ( $w^*$ -continuous). Dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called Connesamenable, if for every dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule X, every  $w^*$ -continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$  is inner, or equivalently,  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}, X) = \{0\}$  [13]. Let I be a  $w^*$ -closed two sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}, I) = \{0\}$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called I-connes amenable. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is I-connes amenable for every  $w^*$ -closed two sided ideal I of  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called ideally connes amenable [17]. Weak amenability of module extensions of Banach algebras studied by Zhang in [15], Forrest and Marcoux studied derivation of triangular Banach algebras in [7]. First Hochschild cohomology group of triangular Banach algebras studied in [8,?]. Connesamenability of dual of module extensions of Banach algebras investigated in [5].

In [18] dual triangular Banach algebras were introduced and investigated  $w^*$ -continuous derivations from these algebras into themselves.

In this paper we study weak\*-continuous derivations of dual triangular Banach algebras into their weak\*-closed ideals. In a simillar manner of ideal Connes-amenability of dual Banach algebra that is defined in [17], we study some notes on connes- amenability of dual triangular Banach algebras with respect to their  $w^*$ -closed ideals.

### 2 Connes-amenability of module extensions of Banach algebras

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. The Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} X$  is defined in [5] with the algebra product,

$$(a,x)(b,y) = (ab,ay + xb)$$

and with the norm,

$$||(a, x)|| = \max\{||x||, ||a||\} \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X)$$

**Theorem 1** [18]  $\mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} X$  is Connes-amenable if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is Connesamenable and X = 0.

**Theorem 2** [18] Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two dual Banach algebras and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a dual Banach space that is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module. Then

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} \mathcal{M} \oplus_{\infty} \mathcal{B},$$

with the sum and product being giving by the usual  $2 \times 2$  matrix operations and internal module actions is an algebra. Furthermore, by the following norm is a Banach algebra:

$$\| \begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \| = \| (a, m, b) \| = \max\{ \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \|m\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}} \}.$$

Let X is a normal Banach  $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule, it is also acted on  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  from the left and from the right via the following actions:

$$x \cdot (a, m, b) = x \cdot a + x \cdot m + x \cdot b, \ (a, m, b) \cdot x = a \cdot x + m \cdot x + b \cdot x,$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are unital  $(e_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ and } e_{\mathcal{B}}, e_{\mathcal{B}})$  respectively) then  $\mathcal{T}$  is unital with identity  $(e_{\mathcal{A}}, 0, e_{\mathcal{B}}) = \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$ . Therefore, X become a unital  $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule and we have

$$X = e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}} + e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}} + e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}} + e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}} + e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot X \cdot (1 - e_{\mathcal{A}})(1 - e_{\mathcal{B}}) + e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot X \cdot (1 - e_{\mathcal{A}})(1 - e_{\mathcal{B}}) + (1 - e_{\mathcal{A}})(1 - e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}} + (1 - e_{\mathcal{A}})(1 - e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}}$$
(1)  
$$+ (1 - e_{\mathcal{A}})(1 - e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot X \cdot (1 - e_{\mathcal{A}})(1 - e_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Note that action on the left on  $(1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}})\cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}}, (1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}})\cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}}$ and  $(1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}})\cdot X \cdot (1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}})$  is zero and action on the right on  $e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot X \cdot (1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}}), e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot X \cdot (1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}})$  and  $(1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot X \cdot (1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}})$  and  $(1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot X \cdot (1-e_{\mathcal{A}})(1-e_{\mathcal{B}})$  is zero. We use these notations in this paper:  $X_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}}, X_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}}, \text{ and } X_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot X \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}}.$  If replace  $\mathcal{T}$  instead of X, we have  $X_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}, X_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}, X_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{M}$ , and  $X_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = 0$ .

Suppose that  $I_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $I_{\mathcal{B}}$  are  $w^*$ -closed two sided ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  respectively, and let Y be a dual  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -submodule of M such that  $I_{\mathcal{A}}M \cup MI_{\mathcal{B}} \subset Y$ , then It is easy to show that  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & Y \\ & I_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$  is a  $w^*$ -closed two sided ideal in  $\mathcal{T}$ . If replace  $I_{\mathcal{T}}$  instead of X, we have  $X_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = I_{\mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathcal{B}}, X_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = Y$ , and  $X_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = 0$ .

**Lemma 1** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra.  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & I_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$  is a normal dual Banach  $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule. If  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{B}}$  are  $w^*$ -continuous derivations, then  $D_{\mathcal{AB}} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) + D_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$$

is a w<sup>\*</sup>-continuous derivation. Furthermore,  $D_{AB}$  is inner if and only if  $D_A$  and  $D_B$  are inner.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $D_{\mathcal{AB}}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation. Assume that  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  are inner. Therefore there exist  $x \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $y \in I_{\mathcal{B}}$  such that  $D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = b \cdot y - y \cdot b$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . These lead to

$$D(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ b \end{bmatrix}) = D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) + D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = (a \cdot x - x \cdot a) + (b \cdot y - y \cdot b)$$
$$= (a \cdot x + m \cdot x + b \cdot x - x \cdot a - x \cdot m - x \cdot b)$$
$$+ (a \cdot y + m \cdot y + b \cdot y - y \cdot a - y \cdot m - y \cdot b)$$
$$= (a, m, b) \cdot (x + y) - (x + y) \cdot (a, m, b)$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \cdot (x + y) - (x + y) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $D_{\mathcal{AB}} \in \mathcal{N}_{w^*}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}})$ . Converse is hold by the same method.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra, and  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & Y \\ I_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$  If  $D: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation, then there exist  $w^*$ -continuous derivations  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{A}}, D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{B}}$  and there is a  $w^*$ -continuous mapping  $\theta: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow Y$  such that

1.  $\theta(a \cdot m) = a \cdot \theta(m) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot m,$ 2.  $\theta(m \cdot b) = \theta(m) \cdot b + m \cdot D_{\mathcal{B}}(b),$ for every  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$ . Moreover, if D is inner then  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  are inner.

*Proof.* Define  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{A}}$  by

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0\\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}},$$

and  $D_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{B}}$  by

$$D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot D(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}) \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}}$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Clearly,  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}}$  are  $w^*$ -continuous derivations. Consider the mapping  $\theta : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow Y$  via

$$\theta(m) = e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot D(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}},$$

for every  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . By easy calculation one can show that  $\theta$  satisfies on stated conditions.

**Theorem 3** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra and  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & I_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$  is a w<sup>\*</sup>-closed two sided ideal in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{B}, I_{\mathcal{B}}).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

*Proof.* It is obvious by ([18] theorem 2.7)

**Corollary 1** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra. Then by above Theorem the following result immediately holds.

 $\begin{array}{l} (i) \ \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}). \\ (ii) \ \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{B}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{B}, I_{\mathcal{B}}). \end{array}$ 

Example 1 Let  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Von-Neumann algebra. It is known that  $\mathcal{A}$  is ideally connes amenable[17]. Then by applying Corollary 1,  $\mathcal{H}_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) =$ 0 for every  $w^*$ -closed two sided ideal  $I_{\mathcal{A}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  similarly if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Von-Neumann algebra then  $\mathcal{H}_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$  for every  $w^*$ -closed two sided ideal  $I_{\mathcal{B}}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Lemma 3** ([18]) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be dual Banach algebras. Then  $\mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} \mathcal{B}$  is a dual Banach algebra with predual  $\mathcal{A}_* \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}_*$  and following product and norm:

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1a_2, b_1b_2), \ ||(a, b)|| = \max\{||a||_{\mathcal{A}}, ||b||_{\mathcal{B}}\}$$

**Corollary 2** ([18]) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be dual Banach algebras. Then  $\mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} \mathcal{B}$  is Connes-amenable if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are Connes-amenable.

**Theorem 4** ([18]) Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is Connes-amenable if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are Connes-amenable and  $\mathcal{M} = 0$ .

Now, this question arise that for triangular dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{T}$ , when  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) = \{0\}$ ? From now on, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are dual Banach algebras and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a dual and normal Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and is a dual and normal Banach right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, and finally,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a dual triangular Banach algebra defined as before.

We start with the following Lemma that its proof is straightforward (see Proposition 2.1 of [7] and Lemma 2).

**Lemma 4** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra.  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & Y \\ & I_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$  an ideal in  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $D : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  be a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation. Then there are  $w^*$ -continuous derivation  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{A}}, D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{B}}, m_D \in Y$  and  $w^*$ -continuous linear mapping  $\theta : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow Y$  such that:

$$(i) \ D(\begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} \ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ m_D\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

 $(ii) D\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) & a \cdot m_{D} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$  $(iii) D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_{D} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$  $(iv) D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_{D} \cdot b \\ D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \end{bmatrix}.$  $(v) D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \theta(m) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$  $(vi) \theta(a \cdot m) = a \cdot \theta(m) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot m.$  $(vii) \theta(m \cdot b) = \theta(m) \cdot b + m \cdot D_{\mathcal{B}}(b).$ 

Conversely, if  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{B}}$  are  $w^*$ -continuous derivations and  $\theta : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow Y$  is a linear  $w^*$ -continuous map that satisfies in conditions (vi) and (vii), then  $D : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  defined by

$$D(\begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \ \theta(m) \\ D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \end{bmatrix},$$

is a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation.

**Definition 1** Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $I_{\mathcal{B}}$ , Y be as before

- (i) For any  $a \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $b \in I_{\mathcal{B}}$ , we say the  $w^*$ -continuous linear mapping  $\theta_{a,b} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow Y$  is a  $w^*$ -Rosenblum operator on  $\mathcal{M}$  with coefficients in Y if  $\theta_{a,b}(m) = a \cdot m m \cdot b$ , for every  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- (ii) We say the  $w^*$ -continuous linear mapping  $\theta : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow Y$  is a  $w^*$ -generalized Rosenblum operator if there are  $w^*$ -continuous derivations  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and  $D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{B}}$  such that

$$\theta(a \cdot m \cdot b) = D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot m \cdot b + a \cdot \theta(m) \cdot b + a \cdot m \cdot D_{\mathcal{B}}(b),$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ .

- (iii) We shall denote the centralizer of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $I_{\mathcal{A}}$  as  $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = \{x \in I_{\mathcal{A}} : x.a = a.x \quad \forall \ a \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and the centralizer of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $I_{\mathcal{B}}$  as  $Z_{\mathcal{B}}(I_{\mathcal{B}}) = \{z \in I_{\mathcal{B}} : z.b = b.z \quad \forall \ b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . We say  $\theta_{a,b}$  is a  $w^*$ -central Rosenblum operator on  $\mathcal{M}$  with coefficients in Y if  $a \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $b \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}(I_{\mathcal{B}})$ . We denote the space of all  $w^*$ -central Rosenblum operators by  $ZR_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y)$ .
- (iv) We denote the space of all  $w^*$ -continuous left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphisms and  $w^*$ -continuous right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module morphisms on  $\mathcal{M}$  by

$$Hom_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y) = \{\varphi : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow Y; \varphi(a.m.b) = a.\varphi(m).b \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B} \}$$
, if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ , we write  $Hom_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M},Y).$ 

**Lemma 5** [7, Lemma 2.6, 2.7] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra. Then

(i)  $ZR_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y).$ 

(ii) Let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y)$ . Then  $D_{\varphi}: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  defined by

$$D_{\varphi}\left(\begin{bmatrix}a \ m\\b\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}0 \ \varphi(m)\\0\end{bmatrix}$$

is a w<sup>\*</sup>-continuous derivation. Moreover,  $D_{\varphi}$  is an inner derivation if and only if there exist  $x \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}(I_{\mathcal{A}}), y \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}(I_{\mathcal{B}})$  such that  $\varphi = \tau_{x,y} \in ZR_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y).$ 

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\tau_{x,y} \in ZR_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_{x,z}(a,m,b) &= xamb - ambz \\ &= axmb - amzb \\ &= a(xm - mz)b \\ &= a\tau_{x,z}(m)b. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The first statement follows immediately from Lemma 4 when  $D_{\mathcal{A}} = D_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$ .

Assume that  $\varphi = \tau_{x,z}$  where  $x \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}(I_{\mathcal{A}}), z \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}(I_{\mathcal{B}})$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix}} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ b \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} xa - ax & xm - mz \\ zb - bz \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & xm - mz \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varphi(m) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{\varphi} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{split}$$

Hence  $\delta_{\varphi}$  is inner. Conversely, assume that  $\delta_{\varphi}$  is inner. Then there exists  $\begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in I_{\mathcal{T}}$  such that  $\delta_{\varphi} = \delta \begin{bmatrix} x \ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ . However  $\delta \begin{bmatrix} x \ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x \ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$  $= \begin{bmatrix} xa - ax \ xm + yb - ay - mz \\ zb - bz \end{bmatrix}$ 

If  $\delta_{\varphi} = \delta \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ , then xa - ax = 0 for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and zb - bz = 0 for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular,  $x \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}(I_{\mathcal{A}}), z \in Z_{\mathcal{B}}(I_{\mathcal{B}})$ . Moreover, we have  $\varphi(m) = xm + yb - ay - mz$ . Since  $\varphi \in Hom_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y)$ , it follows that yb - ay = 0. Hence  $\varphi(m) = xm - mz = \tau_{x,z}(m)$ . In particular,  $\varphi \in ZR_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y)$ .

**Proposition 1** [7, Theorem 2.8] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a dual triangular Banach algebra. If  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{B}, I_{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) \cong \frac{\operatorname{Hom}_{w^{*}}^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, Y)}{ZR_{w^{*}}^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, Y)}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi : Hom_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T},I_{\mathcal{T}})$  defined by:  $\Phi(\varphi) = \overline{\delta}_{\varphi}$  where  $\overline{\delta}_{\varphi}$  represents equivalence class of  $\delta_{\varphi}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T},I_{\mathcal{T}})$ . Clearly  $\Phi$  is linear. We first show that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Let  $D: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  be a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation. Let  $D_{\mathcal{A}}, D_{\mathcal{B}}, \theta, m_D$  be as in Lemma 4. Since  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{B}, I_{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$  we can find  $x \in I_{\mathcal{A}}, z \in I_{\mathcal{B}}$  such that  $D_{\mathcal{A}} = \delta_x$  and  $D_{\mathcal{B}} = \delta_z$ . Define  $D_0: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  by

$$D_0\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_x(a) \ \mathcal{T}_{x,z}(m) + (a.m_D - m_D.b) \\ \delta_z(b) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $D_0$  is the inner derivation induced by  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} x & -m_D \\ z \end{bmatrix}$  and as such  $D_0$  is clearly  $w^*$ -continuous. Further more if  $D_1 = D - D_0$  then  $D_1$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation and due to Lemma 4

$$D_{1}\begin{pmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{x}(a) & \theta(m) + (a.m_{D} - m_{D}.b) \\ \delta_{z}(b) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{x}(a) & \mathcal{T}_{x,z}(m) + (a.m_{D} - m_{D}.b) \\ \delta_{z}(b) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \theta(m) - \mathcal{T}_{x,z}(m) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{T}_{1}(m) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \theta - \mathcal{T}_{x,z}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{T}_1 \in Hom_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y)$ . Finally  $\overline{D} = \overline{D_1} = \Phi(\mathcal{T}_1)$ , and so  $\Phi$  is surjective. We have shown that

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) \cong \frac{Hom_{w^{*}}^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, Y)}{Ker\Phi}.$$

However  $\varphi \in Ker\Phi$  if and only if  $\delta_{\varphi}$  is inner. By lemma  $Ker\Phi = ZR_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M},Y)$ 

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital dual Banach algebra and consider  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & I_{\mathcal{A}} \\ I_{\mathcal{A}} \end{bmatrix}$  then in light of Lemma 4, if  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation then  $D : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow I_{\mathcal{T}}$  defined by

$$D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \ m \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \ D_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \\ D_{\mathcal{A}}(b) \end{bmatrix},$$

is a  $w^*$ -continuous derivation. Moreover, D is inner if and only if  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$  is inner. It follows immediately that there exists a linear isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}})$ onto a subspace  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}})$  [7, Corllary 3.2]. Hence if  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) = 0$  then  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$ . Therefore we can write the following result that its proof is similar to proof of Proposition 3.3 of [7] but replace identity map  $id : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by natural projection map from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $I_{\mathcal{A}}$  which is clearly in  $Hom_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}})$ .

**Proposition 2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra (non-unital) and  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$ be a dual triangular Banach algebra and  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & I_{\mathcal{A}} \\ I_{\mathcal{A}} \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) = 0$ then  $\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w^{*}}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital.

**Proposition 3** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital dual Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $I_{\mathcal{T}}$  be the above defined dual triangular Banach algebras, then  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 4.3 of [8] leads to  $Hom_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}}) \simeq ZR_{w^*}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, I_{\mathcal{A}})$  and Proposition 1 implies that  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) = 0$ . Proposition 2 implies that the converse assertion also holds true.

Example 2 Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Von-Neumann algebra or  $\mathcal{A} = B(G)$ , Fourier Stieltjes algebra of G where G is a locally compact amenable group, hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital dual Banach algebra, let  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $I_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{A}} & I_{\mathcal{A}} \\ I_{\mathcal{A}} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then in light of ideal Connes-amenability  $\mathcal{A}$  [17] and Proposition 3, we have  $\mathcal{H}^1_{w^*}(\mathcal{T}, I_{\mathcal{T}}) = 0$ .

#### References

- 1. F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Complete normed algebras, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1973).
- H. G. Dales, Banach algebras and automatic continuity, London Math. Society Monographs, Vol. 24, Clarendon Press, Oxford (2000).
- M. Eshaghi Gordji and T. Yazdanpanah, Derivations into duals of ideals of Banach algebras, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 114(4), 399–403 (2004).
- M. Eshaghi Gordji, F. Habibian and Hayati, Ideal amenability of module extension of dual Banach algebras, Arc. Math. (Brno), 43, 177–184 (2007).
- M. Eshaghi Gordji, F. Habibian and A. Rejali, Module extension of dual Banach algebras, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 47(4), 663–673 (2010).
- M. Eshaghi Gordji, A. Ebadian, F. Habibian and B. Hayati, Weak\*-continuous derivations in dual Banach algebras, Arc. Math. (Brno), 48, 39–44 (2012).
- B. E. Forrest and L.W. Marcoux, Derivations of triangular Banach algebras, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 45, 441–462 (1996).
- B. E. Forrest and L.W. Marcoux, Weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 354, 1435–1452 (2001).
- F. Ghahramani and R.J. Loy, Generalized notions of amenability, J. Funct. Anal., 208, 229–260 (2004).
- Y. Choi, F. Ghahramani and Y. Zhang, Approximate and pseudo-amenability of various classes of Banach algebras, J. Funct. Anal., 256, 3158–3191 (2009).
- B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras, Memoir American Math. Soc., 127 (1972).

- A. R. Medghalchi, M. H. Sattari and T. Yazdanpanah, Amenability and weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., 31(2), 57–69 (2005).
- 13. V. Runde, Lectures on Amenability, Springer, New York (2002).
- 14. S. Sakai, C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras, Springer (1971).
- Y. Zhang, Weak amenability of module extentions of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 354(10), 4131–4151 (2002).
- 16. Y. Zhang, 2m-weak amenability of group algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 396, 412–416 (2012).
- A. Minapoor, A. Bodaghi, and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, Ideal Connes-amenability of dual Banach Algebras, Mediterr. J. Math. 14: 174. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-017-0970-2 (2017).
- A. Ebadian and A. Jabbari, Weak\*-continuous derivations on module extension of dual Banach algebras, South Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 39, 347–363 (2015).